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Abstract

These practical guidelines for the biological treatment of unipolar depressive disorders in primary care settings were developed by an international Task Force of the World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry (WFSBP). They embody the results of a systematic review of all available clinical and scientific evidence pertaining to the treatment of unipolar depressive disorders and offer practical recommendations for general practitioners encountering patients with these conditions. The guidelines cover disease definition, classification, epidemiology and course of unipolar depressive disorders, and the principles of management in the acute, continuation and maintenance phase. They deal primarily with biological treatment (including antidepressants, other psychopharmacological and hormonal medications, electroconvulsive therapy, light therapy).
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Executive summary of recommendations

For patients who meet the diagnostic criteria for a depressive episode (ICD-10) or a major depressive disorder (DSM-IV), biological treatment (pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches) should in general be considered. Before treatment is begun, a comprehensive treatment plan should be developed on the basis of the patient’s history and experience with previous treatments, current clinical subtype, current findings, severity of illness and risk of suicide. Concurrent psychiatric and somatic disorders, non-psychiatric medications or psychosocial stress factors should be thoroughly considered, as they can contribute to a depressive syndrome or interfere with treatment. Family history for mood disorders should be assessed. Whichever biological treatment intervention is chosen, clinical and psychiatric management should be initiated and continued throughout the treatment. This includes determining treatment plan and setting, establishing and maintaining a therapeutic alliance, monitoring and reassessing psychiatric status including risk of suicide, reassessing the adequacy of the diagnosis, monitoring the patient's treatment response, side effects and general medical condition, and educating patients and families as to the importance of adhering to treatment. The ultimate goal of the acute treatment phase is remission. After a period of about
strategies should be implemented. At least 8–10 weeks may be required to define the full extent of symptom reduction which must be achieved before entering the continuation phase of treatment. The more severe the depression is, the greater are the potential benefits derived from adequate treatment. The goal of continuation treatment is to prevent a relapse, to eliminate any residual symptoms and to restore the patient’s prior level of psychosocial and occupational functioning. Maintenance (prophylactic) treatment is aimed at preventing a new episode of depression and suicide, and is definitely indicated in situations in which the risk of recurrence is high, i.e., for patients who have had three or more episodes of major depression and in patients with a high prior frequency of recurrences (e.g., two episodes within 5 years). Maintenance treatment may last from 3 years to a lifetime. In general, the graver the prognosis, the longer the maintenance therapy should last. Successful treatment of depressed patients with antidepressants includes educating the patients and the families about available treatment options, time of first noticable response, early side effects and what to do about them, and the expected course of treatment.

**Biological treatment recommendations**

Antidepressants are the first-line treatments for a major depressive episode (moderate to severe depressive episode). Depending on individual characteristics and/or requests of the patient, antidepressant treatment might also be indicated in mild depressive episodes, otherwise psycho- and socio-therapeutic approaches alone may be sufficient.

Factors to take into account when choosing an antidepressant are: the patient’s prior experience with medication (response, tolerability, adverse effects), concurrent medical conditions and use of nonpsychiatric drugs, a drug’s short- and long-term side effects, the physician’s own experience with the medication, the patient’s history of adherence to medication, history of first-degree relatives responding to a medication, patient preferences, and the costs and availability of specific antidepressants.

No one class of antidepressants has proved to be more effective or have a more rapid onset than another, although some tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) (amitriptyline and clomipramine), and venlafaxine are slightly more effective than SSRIs in severely depressed hospitalized patients. Antidepressants differ considerably in their side effects profile, potential for interacting with other drugs and in the danger they pose when taken in overdose. Second-generation (e.g., bupropion, maprotiline, mianserin, trazodone) and third-generation (e.g., SSRIs, SNRIs, mirtazapine and reboxetine) (“newer”) antidepressants are generally tolerated better than are the first-generation (“older”) TCAs, and patients are thus less likely to discontinue them.

In at least 30% of depressive episodes, patients will not respond sufficiently to an adequately performed first-line treatment with any chosen antidepressant. This situation warrants a careful review of the correctness of diagnosis and sufficiency of drug dosing and compliance. Following this, potential strategies are (1) switching to another antidepressant from a different pharmacological class, (2) switching to another antidepressant within the same pharmacological class, (3) combining two antidepressants from different classes, (4) augmenting the antidepressant with other agents (e.g., lithium, thyroid hormone, pindolol, estrogen, buspirone, atypical antipsychotics) to enhance antidepressant efficacy, and (5) combining the antidepressant with a psychotherapeutic intervention. Of these alternatives, augmentation with lithium is the foremost and best documented strategy.

Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) should be considered as a first-line strategy only in special situations calling for rapid relief from depression (e.g., severe psychotic depression, severe depression with psychomotor retardation, “true” treatment-resistant depression, persistent refusal of food, severe suicidality) and for patients with previous positive response to ECT. These patients should be referred to a specialist.

The medication of choice for the maintenance treatment of major depressive disorder (MDD) is either the same dose of the same antidepressant with which remission was achieved in the acute and continuation phase or lithium. In the latter case, serum lithium levels (at 12 h after last lithium intake) of 0.5–0.8 mmol/l (mEq/l) are usually recommended and should be monitored regularly. None of the other mood stabilizers used for bipolar affective disorders (e.g., valproate [divalproex], lamotrigine or gabapentin) have been studied for maintenance treatment of MDD in randomized-controlled trials. Although the data from controlled studies is still limited, results verify the efficacy of a variety of antidepressants (TCAs, SSRIs, SNRIs and other “newer” antidepressants) for dysthymic disorder.

1 Unipolar depressive disorders

1.1 Introduction

Unipolar depressive disorders present depressive symptoms only, without any manic symptomatology
or history thereof. This distinguishes them from bipolar affective disorders. Unipolar depressive disorders have been classified into three main diagnostic groups (ICD-10 diagnoses, World Health Organization 1992; corresponding DSM-IV diagnoses (American Psychiatric Association 1994a) are given in parentheses):

- depressive episode or recurrent depressive disorder (DSM-IV: major depressive disorder (MDD) – single episode or recurrent);
- dysthymia (DSM-IV: dysthymic disorder and other chronic depressive disorders (MDD in incomplete remission and chronic MDD)); and
- depressive episode, unspecified, brief recurrent depressions (DSM-IV “subthreshold depressions”).

Of these, major depressive disorder (MDD) has received the most attention in studies. Its treatment – in the acute, continuation and maintenance phases – is therefore the focus of the recommendations developed in these guidelines.

1.2 Goal and target audience of WFSBP guidelines

These WFSBP guidelines provide an update of contemporary knowledge of unipolar depressive disorders and evidence-based recommendations for their treatment. They were developed by the authors and approved by the WFSBP Task Force on Unipolar Depressive Disorders consisting of 46 international researchers and clinicians. The recommendations presented in these guidelines are based on a systematic review of all available evidence pertaining to the treatment of unipolar depressive disorders and embody important clinical and scientific advancements. They also incorporate the opinions of scientifically respected experts and international representatives of the state-of-the-art treatment of these disorders. In the few questions for which a consensus could not be reached, the authors were mandated to make a final judgement.

The guidelines were originally published in 2002 in two parts (Bauer et al. 2002a,b) for use by all physicians, particularly psychiatric specialists. The guidelines presented here have been comprehensively revised and are intended for use by general practitioners encountering patients with depressive conditions, and are thus restricted to the issues most important in the primary care context and to those treatments which are possible within the scope of a general practice. They can serve only as guidelines, because the final judgment regarding any particular treatment procedure must be made by the responsible treating physician in light of the clinical picture presented by the patient and the diagnostic and treatment options available.

These guidelines deal primarily with biological (somatic) treatment (e.g., antidepressants). Psychotherapeutic treatment interventions are covered only briefly. The guidelines do not address depressive disorders occurring in bipolar affective disorders (which are covered by separate WFSBP guidelines, Grunze et al. 2002, 2003, 2004). Since the availability of medications, treatments and diagnostic procedures varies considerably from country to country, several different treatment options are included in the guidelines.

1.3 Methods of literature search and data extraction

The data used for the development of these guidelines have been extracted from the following sources: Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (AHCPR) Depression Guidelines Panel (1993); AHCPR Evidence Report on Treatment of Depression: Newer Pharmacotherapies (1999); American Psychiatric Association (APA) Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder, Revision (2000); British Association for Psychopharmacology Revised Guidelines for Treating Depressive Disorders (Anderson et al. 2000); Canadian Psychiatric Association and the Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments, CANMAT, Clinical Guidelines for the Treatment of Depressive Disorders (2000); Canadian Consensus Guidelines for the Treatment of Seasonal Affective Disorder (Lam and Levitt 1999); Deutsche Gesellschaft für Psychiatrie, Psychotherapie und Nervenheilkunde, DGPPN, Praxisleitlinien in Psychiatrie und Psychotherapie, Affektive Erkrankungen (2000); The Cochrane Library; World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry WFSBP Guidelines for biological treatment of unipolar depressive disorders (2002); meta-analyses on the efficacy of antidepressant medications identified by a search in the MEDLINE database (up to 1 February 2005); major pertinent review articles identified by a search in the MEDLINE database and textbooks, and individual clinical experience of the authors and members of the WFSBP Task Force on Unipolar Depressive Disorders. All original data quoted were taken from research articles published in peer-reviewed journals in English before 1 February 2005. Important evidence published after this deadline is added and labeled by footnotes.

1.4 Evidence-based classification of recommendations

Each treatment recommendation was evaluated for the strength of evidence for its efficacy, safety and
feasibility. Given the disparities in medication costs across the world, daily treatment costs were not taken into consideration. Four categories of evidence were used:

**Level A.** Good research-based evidence to support the recommendation. This level is achieved if research-based evidence for efficacy has been derived from at least three moderately large, positive, randomized controlled (double-blind) studies (RCT). At least one of these three studies must moreover be a well-conducted, placebo-controlled study.

**Level B.** Fair research-based evidence to support the recommendation. This includes evidence of efficacy from at least two moderately large randomized, double-blind studies (this can be either at least two comparator studies or one comparator-controlled and one placebo-controlled study) or from one moderately large randomized, double-blind study (placebo-controlled or comparator-controlled) and at least one prospective, moderately large (sample size of ≥50 participants), open-label, naturalistic study.

**Level C.** Minimal research-based evidence to support the recommendation. This level is achieved if one randomized, double-blind study with a comparator treatment and one prospective, open-label study/case series (with a sample size of ≥10 participants) showed efficacy, or at least two prospective, open-label study/case series (with a sample size of ≥10 participants) showed efficacy.

**Level D.** Expert opinion-based (from authors and members of the WFSBP Task Force on Unipolar Depression) supported by at least one prospective, open-label study/case series (sample size ≥10 participants).

**No level of evidence.** Expert opinion for general treatment procedures and principles.

### 1.5 Epidemiology and course of major depressive disorder

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a severe mood disorder associated with significant morbidity and mortality affecting individuals of all ages and races. The recent worldwide Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study of the World Health Organization (WHO) has shown variations by country and region, but patterns and trends for depressive disorders are remarkably similar worldwide (Murray and Lopez 1997a,b). MDD is characterized by single or recurrent major depressive episodes (MDEs). The essential feature of a major depressive episode is a period of at least 2 weeks of depressed mood with abnormalities of neurovegetative function (appetite, weight loss, sleep disturbances), psychomotor activity (e.g., loss of energy and interests, agitation or retardation), cognition (feelings of worthlessness, hopelessness or inappropriate guilt), as well as anxiety and suicidal ideation (Table I). MDD has a median lifetime prevalence of 16.1% (range 4.4–18) (Wittchen 2000; Waraich et al. 2004). It occurs in about 5–10% of the adult population during any 1-year period of time, with women at higher risk than men (the ratio is approximately 2:1) (Regier et al. 1993; Kessler et al. 1994; Picinelli and Gomez-Homen 1997; Ialongo et al. 2004).

At least 10% of all patients presenting in the primary care setting suffer from depression (Üstün and Sartorius 1995; Backenstrass et al. 2006), with about 50% presenting with primarily or only somatic symptoms (Fisch 1987). Of all primary care patients with depressive symptomatology, around 25% classify as having MDD, 30% as having minor depression and 45% present with non-specific depressive symptoms. Seen together, the latter two groups could constitute a subthreshold depression (Backenstrass et al. 2006). Even severely depressed patients are commonly seen in primary care, frequently thinking that because of the severity of their symptoms they might be suffering from a somatic illness.

MDD can begin at any age, even in childhood and adolescence, but there are two peaks in the 20s and 40s (Angst and Preisig 1995; American Psychiatric Association 2000). The mean age of onset of MDD has been estimated around the age of 30 (Wittchen 2000).

Untreated, a typical major depressive episode lasts about 6 months or more (Angst and Preisig 1995; Solomon et al. 1997; American Psychiatric Association 2000; Wang 2004). Modern pharmacotherapy can alleviate suffering during acute episodes, and placebo-controlled trials show that response and remission occur faster in actively treated groups. MDD is a recurrent disorder and 50–85% of the patients who experience an episode will eventually have another (Keller et al. 1986; Mueller et al. 1999).

---

1. Note: It is emphasized that a graded efficacy evaluation has its limitations. The strength of a recommendation reflects the scientific evidence on which it is based and not necessarily its importance. Levels of recommendation only apply to treatment and not to other aspects.
The prognosis for a depressive episode is good, and most patients return to normal functioning when the episode is over. However, in 20–30% of cases remission is incomplete, with some depressive symptoms persisting chronically (Angst 1986; Keller et al. 1986; Scott 1988; Paykel 1994; Judd et al. 1998; Bauer et al. 2002b). MDD is associated with considerable morbidity and mortality, and for many patients an initial episode of depression evolves into a recurrent and debilitating chronic illness with significant and pervasive impairments in psychosocial functioning (Klerman and Weissman 1992; Mintz et al. 1992; Hirschfeld et al. 2000; Judd et al. 2000; Bromberger 2004; Melartin et al. 2004; Papakostas 2004). Studies on the effects of depression on health-related quality of life demonstrate detriments equal to or greater than those for patients with chronic medical illnesses such as ischemic heart disease or diabetes mellitus (Wells et al. 1989b; AHCPR 1999; Unützer et al. 2000a). The symptoms in depressive people with co-morbid medical illnesses tend to show less improvement, and these patients show a higher relapse rate during treatment (Iosifescu et al. 2004).

The most serious consequence of MDD is suicide. A recent meta-analysis showed that while the lifetime prevalence of suicide for the general population is under 0.5%, that of patients with affective disorders ranges from 2.2% for mixed inpatient/outpatient populations to 8.6% for those who have been hospitalized for suicidality (Bostwick and Pankratz 2000). Depression also substantially increases the risk of death by cardiovascular disease (Wulsin et al. 1999).

The Global Burden of Disease Study estimated that unipolar major depression is the fourth largest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICD-10a (code)</th>
<th>DSM-IVb (code)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Depressive episode</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • mild (F32.0): at least two typical symptoms, plus at least two other common symptoms; none of symptoms intense  
• moderate (F32.1): at least two typical symptoms, plus at least three other common symptoms; some symptoms marked  
• severe (F32.2): all three typical symptoms, plus at least four other common symptoms; some symptoms severe with intensity |  
| B. Recurrent depressive disorder (F33): recurrent depressive episodes |  

Abridged criteria of depressive episode: Minimum duration of episode: about 2 weeks  
1. depressed mood  
2. loss of interest and enjoyment  
3. reduced energy, increased fatigability  
Other common symptoms:  
1. reduced concentration and attention  
2. reduced self-esteem and self-confidence  
3. ideas of guilt and unworthiness  
4. agitation or retardation  
5. ideas or acts of self-harm or suicide  
6. disturbed sleep  
7. diminished appetite.  

Abridged criteria major depressive episode:  
A. Over the last 2 weeks, five of the following features should be present most of the day, or nearly every day (must include 1 or 2):  
1. depressed mood  
2. loss of interest or pleasure in almost all activities  
3. significant weight loss or gain (more than 5% change in 1 month) or an increase or decrease in appetite nearly every day  
4. insomnia or hypersomnia  
5. psychomotor agitation or retardation (observable by others)  
6. fatigue or loss of energy  
7. feelings of worthlessness or excessive or inappropriate guilt (not merely self reproach about being sick)  
8. diminished ability to think or concentrate, or indecisiveness (either by subjective account or as observed by others)  
9. recurrent thoughts of death (not just fear of dying), or recurrent suicidal ideation, or a suicide attempt, or a specific plan for committing suicide.  
B. The symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.  
C. The symptoms are not due to a physical/organic factor or illness (e.g., a drug abuse, a medication, a general medical condition).  
D. The symptoms are not better explained by bereavement (although E. this can be complicated by major depression).  

contributor to the global burden of disease (pre-
mature mortality and disability). With the addition 
of suicide, the burden of unipolar major depression 
increased by nearly 40% (Murray and Lopez 1997a).
By the year 2020, unipolar MDD is projected to be 
the second largest contributor to the global burden 
of disease after heart disease (Murray and Lopez 
1997b).

In addition to the personal suffering of individuals 
and their families, depression imposes significant 
costs on society (Brunello et al. 1995; Thase 2001; 
Fava et al. 2003a; Greenberg et al. 2003; McIntyre 
and O’Donovan 2004), even more so when not 
properly diagnosed or undertreated (Wells et al. 
1989a; Üstün and Sartorius 1995; Unützer et al. 
2000b, Young et al. 2001).

1.6 Indications and goals of treatment for major 
depressive disorder

Antidepressant treatment should be considered for 
patients who meet diagnostic criteria for depressive 
episode (ICD-10) or major depressive disorder 
(DSM-IV) (see Table I). Guidelines differ with 
respect to the recommendation of antidepressants 
in mild depressive episodes or depression in primary 
care (Depression: management of depression in 
primary and secondary care – NICE Guideline 
2004; Practice guideline for the treatment of patients 
with major depressive disorder, APA 2000). De-
pending on individual characteristics and/or requests 
of the patient antidepressant treatment might be 
indicated, otherwise psycho- and sociotherapeutic 
approaches alone may be sufficient.

Current diagnostic criteria in both classification 
systems represent a clinical and historical consensus 
on the most prominent and important symptoms 
and signs of depressive illness (Table I).

Before initiating treatment, the general practi-
tioner should take the patient’s preferences and 
previous treatment experiences into consideration. 
Especially if a patient exhibits psychotic features 
(e.g., delusions) or suicidality or if the depression 
occurs in the context of a bipolar illness, treatment 
by a specialist or inpatient treatment is indicated. 
See Figure 1 for a stepped-care model. Early 
recognition of bipolar disorder is especially impor-
tant since treatment approaches differ substantially 
from those for unipolar depression and early appropi-
ate treatment positively influences long-term out-
come. Bipolar patients are over-represented in 
treatment-resistant patients falsely diagnosed with 
unipolar depressive disorder and antidepressant 
treatment alone seems to have unstabilizing impact 
on the course of the disease (Ghaemi 2002). Apart 
from asking the patient and his family about manic 
or hypomanic symptoms, screening instruments 
could help to identify those patients (e.g., Mood 
Disorders Questionnaire, MDQ, Hirschfeld 2000; 
Hypomanic Checklist, Angst et al. 2003, 2005).

The treatment of major depressive disorder re-
quires conceptualization of acute, intermediate 
and long-term goals. Kupfer and colleagues (Kupfer 
1993) have developed a model for the typical course 
of a major depressive episode including the risk of 
recurrence and corresponding structured treatment 
approach. In this model, the three phases of treat-
ment correspond to three stages of the illness: (1) 
acute therapy, (2) continuation therapy, and (3) 
maintenance therapy (see Figure 2).

The acute phase of therapy is the time period from 
the initiation of treatment to remission, which is the 
primary therapeutic goal (Frank et al. 1991; Kupfer 
1993). The continuation phase follows the acute 
phase to preserve and stabilize the remission. It is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inpatient care, crisis teams</th>
<th>Severe dysfunction, risk for life</th>
<th>Medication, combination treatments, ECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental health specialist including crisis teams</td>
<td>Treatment-resistant, recurrent, atypical and psychotic depression, those with special risk</td>
<td>Medication, complex psychotherapeutic treatment, combination treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health specialist General Practitioner/ Primary Care Team</td>
<td>Moderate to moderately severe depression</td>
<td>Medication and/or psychotherapeutic treatment, psychosocial interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Practitioner/ Primary Care Doctor, Practice Nurse</td>
<td>Mild depression</td>
<td>Observation, psychoeducation, psycho-social interventions psychotherapeutic and/or biologic treatment (if appropriate)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Stepped-care model (adapted version, original idea from NICE guideline (2004)).
the phase in which the treatment is extended for a period of time in order to prevent a return of the depression. If the depressive syndrome returns during the continuation therapy, a relapse has occurred. When the patient has been asymptomatic for approximately 6 months, a recovery from the episode has occurred. The recovery may be confirmed by continued absence of depressive symptoms after the cessation of medication. Recovery applies only to individual episodes of the illness and does not imply the patient would be free of recurrences (Bauer and Helmchen 2000; Möller et al. 2003). Maintenance (prophylactic) treatment is aimed at preventing a new episode of depression and suicide.

2 Acute-phase treatment of major depressive disorder

These guidelines become applicable at the point when (1) the diagnosis of a major depressive episode has been made by a physician according to one of the two established classification systems, the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10, World Health Organization 1992) or the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV, American Psychiatric Association 1994a) (Table I) and when the practitioner has thoroughly considered: (2) any concurrent psychiatric disorders (mania, schizoaffective disorders, alcohol or substance abuse/dependence, anxiety disorders, eating disorders, personality disorders) and somatic disorders (e.g., endocrine, neurological, autoimmune, infectious disorders, carcinomas), as well as (3) any other factors (e.g., non-psychiatric medications or psychosocial stress factors) that might contribute to a depressive syndrome or interfere with treatment. It is the task of the physician to make the initial assessment of depression, encompassing a thorough somatic examination.

The most common treatments for major depressive disorder will be reviewed below, with a focus on somatic treatment interventions. Components of psychiatric management and general “psychotherapeutic support” (American Psychiatric Association 2000) should be initiated and continued throughout the entire treatment. These components include: determining the treatment plan and treatment setting; establishing and maintaining a therapeutic alliance; monitoring and reassessing psychiatric status (including the patient’s risk for suicide); reassessing the adequacy of diagnosis; monitoring the patient’s treatment response, side effects and general medical condition, and enhancing treatment adherence by providing education to patients and families (American Psychiatric Association 2000). During the acute treatment phase, weekly or bi-weekly visits are recommended where feasible. During the continuation phase, the frequency of visits may vary, but a frequency of one visit every 1–2 months is recommended.

2.1 Antidepressants

The development of antidepressant medications is one of the most important achievements in the treatment of major depression. Many different types have been introduced to the pharmacotherapeutic armamentarium. At least 38 different antidepressants are available worldwide, but market availability varies considerably from country to country (Table II).

The “newer” antidepressants were developed with a view to reduced side effects, the classes of antidepressants currently available differ little in their antidepressant efficacy, all producing treatment responses of 50–75%.

The selection of a particular antidepressant for the individual patient therefore depends on various factors (adapted from AHCPR 1993): patient’s prior experience with medication (positive/negative response), concurrent medical conditions that may be worsened by selected antidepressants (e.g., metabolic syndrome), concomitant use of nonpsychiatric medications that may lead to negative drug–drug interactions (see Table IV), a drug’s short- and long-term side effects (those side effects which affect quality of life are critical for patients’ satisfaction and compliance), physician’s experience with the medication, patients’ history of adherence to medication, history of first-degree relatives responding to a medication, patient preferences, and the cost and availability of specific antidepressants.
2.1.1 Classification and efficacy. Unfortunately, the classification of antidepressants used in clinical practice does not always reflect a systematic approach. Traditionally, antidepressant medications have been grouped into the following main categories: tricyclic antidepressants (TCA), tetracyclic antidepressants (both are non-selective serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors), selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), selective nor-epinephrine reuptake inhibitors (NRIs), selective

<p>| Generic Name |
| Classification according to neurochemical action |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting dose (mg/day)</th>
<th>Standard dose (mg/day)</th>
<th>Plasma levels (therapeutic range) (ng/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agomelatine</td>
<td>MT agonist</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminiptiline</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>25–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxapine</td>
<td>SSR1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion</td>
<td>NDRI</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20–40 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>25–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desipramine</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>25–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibenzepine</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>120–180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosplepine</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dothiepin</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>25–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxepine</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>25–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duloxetine</td>
<td>SNRI</td>
<td>30–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escitalopram</td>
<td>SSR1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td>SSR1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluvoxamine</td>
<td>SSR1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipramine</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>25–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isocarboxazid</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lofepramine</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maprotiline</td>
<td>TetraCA</td>
<td>25–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mianserin</td>
<td>TetraCA</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milnacipran</td>
<td>SNRI</td>
<td>50–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirtazapine</td>
<td>Other§</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moclobemide</td>
<td>RIMA</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nefazodone</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300–600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortriptyline</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>25–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td>SSRI</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenelzine</td>
<td>MAOI</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protriptyline</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reboxetine</td>
<td>NARI</td>
<td>4–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td>SSR1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seriptiline</td>
<td>TetraCA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianeptine</td>
<td>Other#</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranylcypromine</td>
<td>MAOI</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trazodone</td>
<td>50–100</td>
<td>200–600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimipramine</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>25–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venlafaxine</td>
<td>SNRI</td>
<td>37.5–75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viloxazine</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200–500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Availability on the market differs considerably across countries.

*Standard doses are generally lower in Japan.

*Lower starting doses may be needed for older adults (>60) or patients with co-morbid medical illness (especially cardiovascular conditions; see text).

*Recommended therapeutic range is the sum of the drug and the active metabolite

Other indications than depression (approved in some countries) or common uses: 1chronic pain; 2smoking cessation; 3obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD); 4anxiety disorders (panic disorders, PTSD, social phobia); 5generalized anxiety disorder; 6diabetic and peripheral neuropathic pain, stress urinary incontinence.

Abbreviations: MAO-I, irreversible inhibition of monoamine oxidase (MAO); MT agonist, agonist of the melatonin receptor (MT1 and MT2); NARI, noradrenaline reuptake inhibition; NDRI, noradrenaline and dopamine reuptake inhibition; Other, other types of receptor or transmitter profile; RIMA, reversible inhibition of monoamine oxidase A (MAO-A); SNRI, selective serotonin and noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; TCA, tricyclic antidepressant. TetraCA, tetracyclic antidepressant.

§Noradrenaline reuptake inhibition plus presynaptic α2-blockade; §α2-antagonist; §5-HT reuptake enhancer.
serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOI) (including irreversible MAOIs and reversible inhibitors of monoamine oxidase A [RIMA]), and “other” antidepressants.2 The “older” antidepressants have, in numerous placebo-controlled studies, proved effective in treating major depressive disorder. They include the tricyclics, tetracyclics and irreversible MAO inhibitors (all classes Level A) (Khan et al. 2000; Storosum et al. 2001; Fiedorowicz and Swartz 2004). Similarly, numerous double-blind controlled trials have demonstrated superior efficacy of the SSRIs compared to placebo (Level A) (AHCPR 1999; Bech et al. 2000; Khan et al. 2000; Mace and Taylor 2000). In addition, the efficacy of SNRIs compared to placebo has been demonstrated in numerous double-blind controlled trials (Level A) (Entsuah et al. 2001; Hirschfeld and Vornik 2004). For mirtazapine the efficacy compared to placebo is also well documented (Level A) (Bech 2001). Recently agomelatine, a melatonergic antidepressant, has also shown antidepressant efficacy in clinical trials versus placebo (Kennedy and Emsley 2006*).

The “older” (irreversible) MAO inhibitors (e.g., tranylcypromine and phenelzine) are not considered first-line treatments. Although their efficacy is comparable to tricyclic antidepressants, they entail the risk of potentially fatal hypertensive crisis or serotonin syndrome (see below) in patients who eat foods containing tyramine (e.g., aged cheese, aged or cured meats, soy sauce and soy bean condiments, salted fish, and red wine; see manufacturer’s warning notices) or use certain medications (Level B) (American Psychiatric Association 2000).

2.1.2 Comparative efficacy and tolerability. The numerous tricyclics do not differ among themselves in terms of efficacy, but do show different side effect profiles (Level A) (Table III) (Hotopf et al. 1997). With respect to SSRIs, a meta-analysis has shown no significant difference in efficacy between the individual compounds (Level A) (Edwards and Anderson 1999).

In general, there are no clinically significant differences in efficacy and effectiveness between tricyclic antidepressants and SSRIs (Level A) (Möller et al. 1994; American Psychiatric Association 2000; Anderson 2000; Bech et al. 2000; Geddes et al. 2001). One meta-analysis of 102 RCTs did show evidence that TCAs may be slightly more effective than SSRIs in hospitalized patients and severely ill patients (Level A) (American Psychiatric Association 2000; Anderson 2000; see also Danish University Antidepressant Group 1986 Group 1990). However, another meta-analysis of fewer RCTs using a different methodology found that the advantage of TCAs over SSRIs did not reach statistical significance (Geddes et al. 2001).

SSRIs are generally tolerated better than TCAs and show lower rates of treatment discontinuation (Level A) (Simon et al 1996; AHCPR 1999; Anderson 2000; Bech et al. 2000; Peretti et al. 2000; see also the review by Vaswani et al. 2003). SSRIs are safer and have higher tolerability profiles than tricyclic and tetracyclic antidepressants, causing fewer anticholinergic side effects and cardiovascular toxicities (Level A) (Mace and Taylor 2000; Peretti et al. 2000; Ray et al. 2004). SSRIs and other “newer antidepressants” are therefore first-choice medications for mild (when appropriate) to moderate depression, particularly in the outpatient and primary care setting, and in patients with cardiovascular diseases (Kasper 1997; Shores et al. 1998; Sauer et al. 2001; Alvarez and Pickworth 2003).

Regarding the “newer” antidepressants, recent meta-analyses have suggested that the SNRI venlafaxine is more effective than SSRIs (Einarson et al. 1999; Anderson 2001; Thase et al. 2001; Stahl et al. 2002) and other antidepressants (Smith et al. 2002). For severe depression, TCAs, SSRIs and SNRIs can be recommended, as well as ECT, if appropriate (see 2.3) (Level B).

The NRI reboxetine is not different in efficacy from the SSRI fluoxetine but accompanied by less study drop-outs (meta-analysis, Cipriani et al. 2005*). Compared to another SSRI (cilofranil) reboxetine showed comparable efficacy and less resulting sexual dysfunction (the drop-out rate was higher for reboxetine, possibly due to missing dose titration in the beginning, Langworth et al. 2006*).

Side effects vary between classes of antidepressants and to some extent between individual agents (Table III). An agent may have a side effects profile which makes it particularly suitable for patients with specific concurrent nonpsychiatric medical conditions. For patients with coronary artery disease, for example, drugs that do not lower blood pressure or are not associated with changes in cardiac conduction (e.g., bupropion, SSRIs, mianserin) are preferable. Among the tricyclics, the secondary amines (e.g., desipramine, nortriptyline) have fewer side
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2 Note: Abbreviations used for antidepressant groups vary in the literature. For example, selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors are abbreviated as NRI or SNRI, selective serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors as SNRI or SSNRI.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic name (in alphabetical order)</th>
<th>Anticholinergic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nausea /gastrointestinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sedation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insomnia /agitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sexual dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orthostatic hypotension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight Gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specific adverse effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lethality in overdose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Agomelatine | + |
| Amineptine | + |
| Amitriptyline | ++ |
| Amoxapine | + |
| Bupropion | + |
| Citalopram | + |
| Clomipramine | ++ |
| Desipramine | + |
| Dibenzepine | + |
| Doxepine | + |
| Duloxetine | + |
| Escitalopram | + |
| Fluoxetine | + |
| Fluvoxamine | + |
| Imipramine | ++ |
| Isocarboxazid | + |
| Lofepramine | + |
| Maprotiline | + |
| Mianserin | + |
| Mirtazapine | + |
| Moclobemide | + |
| Nefazodone | + |
| Norritpamine | + |
| Paroxetine | + |
| Phenelzine | + |
| Protriptyline | + |
| Reboxetine | + |
| Sertraline | + |
| Setiptiline | + |
| Tianeptine | + |
| Tranylcypromine | + |
| Venlafaxine | + |
| Viloxazine | + |

Categories of side effect strength: +++, high/strong; ++, moderate; +, low/mild; --, very low/none.

These side effect profiles of antidepressants are not comprehensive and are for rough comparison only. Details of drugs used and potential cautions and interactions should be looked up in textbooks/reviews (e.g., Bezchlibnyk-Butler and Jeffries 1996, Benkert and Hippius 2005; Kent 2000), the primary literature or the complete prescribing information available in the package insert of the drug.

These refer to symptoms commonly caused by muscarinic receptor blockade including dry mouth, sweating, blurred vision, constipation and urinary retention.

Conduct delays.

Only those inhibitory effects on hepatic CYP450 enzymes are shown that are clinically relevant; for details see Bresn (1998) and Kent (2000).

Increased risk with high tyramine containing food and sympathomimetic drugs.

In combination with serotonergic drugs.
effects than do the tertiary amines (e.g., amitriptyline, imipramine).

The most frequent side effects of TCAs and tetracyclines are: anticholinergic/antimuscarinic (dry mouth, constipation, blurred vision, urinary hesitination, and tachycardia), cardiovascular (α-adrenergic blockade, orthostatic hypotension, brady arrhythmias, tachycardia), antihistaminergic (sedation, weight gain), and neurological (mild myoclonus, seizures in overdoses, delirium in elderly patients) (Table III). TCAs and tetracyclines should therefore not be used in patients with moderate to severe cardiovascular disorders (Shores et al. 1998), narrow-angle glaucoma, prostatic hypertrophy, cognitive impairment, seizures or delirium.

The most frequent side effects of SSRIs are: gastrointestinal (nausea, vomiting and diarrhea), activation/restlessness (exacerbation of restlessness, agitation, sleep disturbances), sexual dysfunction (loss of erectile or ejaculatory function in men, loss of libido and anorgasmia in both genders) and neurologic (exacerbation of migraine headaches and tension headaches) (Table III). The use of SSRIs is contraindicated in combination or shortly before/after treatment with MAO inhibitors because of the risk of serotonin syndrome. The most frequent clinical features of the serotonin syndrome are changes in mental status, restlessness, myoclonus, hyperreflexia, shivering, abdominal pain, diarrhoea and tremor (Sternbach 1995; Finfgeld 2004). The serotonin syndrome is most commonly the result of the interaction between irreversible MAO inhibitors and SSRIs but can also occur with other serotonergic agents (e.g., clomipramine, l-tryptophan, fenfluramine, buspirone, venlafaxine, milnacipran, nefazodone, and trazodone).

Side effects with SNRI (venlafaxine, milnacipran and duloxetine) resemble those of SSRIs. Blood pressure should be monitored for possible elevation. With mirtazapine weight gain may be induced.

Antidepressants differ with regard to the sexual side effects which incur from their usage (Ferguson 2001; Montejo et al. 2001; Montgomery et al. 2002; Damsa et al. 2004). TCAs, SSRIs and venlafaxine more likely result in sexual dysfunction than duloxetine and reboxetine (Werneke et al. 2006*). For managing antidepressant sexual side effects, see Zajecka (2001) and Worthington and Peters (2003).

The degree of benefit attained from adequate treatment appears to increase proportionally with the severity of depression (Angst and Stassen 1994). For mild depressive episodes, the benefit of treatment with antidepressants is uncertain; education, support and problem solving are treatment alter-natives (Level B) (Anderson et al. 2000; Depression: management of depression in primary and secondary care – NICE Guideline 2004).

2.1.3 Suicidality. Suicide is a major risk in patients with major depression. It should be assessed from the start and reviewed regularly over the course of treatment. Factors alerting the general practitioner to a patient at high risk of suicide are: affective illness, poor impulse control, age and gender (males between age 20 and 30 and over age 50 years and especially very old males; and females between age 40 and 60), history of previous suicide attempt (being the most relevant factor), family history of suicidal behaviour, positive family history of early-onset affective disorder, substance abuse (particularly alcohol abuse), marital status (single, divorced or widowed), sudden change in socioeconomic status (loss of job, financial problems, undesired retirement), and lack of support (Blumenthal 1990; Appleby 1992; Nordstrom et al. 1995a,b; Angst 1999b; Bostwick and Pankraz 2000; Möller 2003). If the patient has suicidal thoughts or intent, close surveillance and specialist treatment are necessary and admission to a psychiatric ward is recommended (Figure 1). Hospital admittance without patient consent may be necessary. Immediate and intensive care should be initiated and should include intensive psychopharmacotherapy and psychotherapy addressing psychosocial factors. There is no specific, acutely acting “anti-suicidal” medication.

Many clinicians have successfully added antipsy-chotics or benzodiazepines (Furukawa et al. 2001) to the treatment regimen. (For information on treatment recommendations for MDD with psychotic features [delusional depression], see 2.6.1 [Antipsychotics]). For patients considered likely to take an overdose, it is recommended to prescribe only one week’s supply of potentially lethal anti-depressants (e.g., the TCAs or irreversible MAO inhibitors) at a time, and the antidepressant chosen should be one which is relatively safe if it is indeed taken in overdose (Table III; AHCPR 1993).

Epidemiological studies revealed a reduction of the frequency of suicides and increased prescriptions of antidepressants within the last decades. In contrast, there is a debate on whether certain antidepressants, or antidepressants in general, potentially increase the risk of suicidal behaviour. Clinical conditions as co-morbid personality disorders and inadequate treatment of bipolar depression may be of importance within this context.

* Published after the date of systematic literature search
Some data suggest that treatment with SSRIs, potentially with other antidepressant drug classes too, the risk of suicidality (preferentially suicidal attempts) may increase in some patients (Möller 2006*). This risk might be pronounced in the initial phase of treatment (Jick et al. 2004). Simon and colleagues showed that the risk of suicide is highest in the month before starting an antidepressant, much lower in the first week of treatment, thereafter dropping to even lower, stable rates (data from computerized health plan records of 65,000 patients with depression, Simon et al. 2006*). Khan et al. compared the incidence of suicide and suicide attempts with several of the “newer” antidepressants and placebo and did not find statistically significant differences (Khan et al. 2000).

However, the concerns mentioned above have induced official warnings (e.g., by the US Food and Drug Administration 2005), especially for child and adolescent psychiatry as here for most antidepressants efficacy has not been demonstrated. In medical decision making, the potential risk should be carefully balanced with the benefit of antidepressive treatment. Consideration of the individual disease history including risk factors for suicidal behaviour and close observation of the patient (e.g., every week in the first weeks of treatment) are recommended when starting antidepressant treatment.

### 2.1.4 Evaluating the efficacy of the initial treatment.

The general practitioner can evaluate the efficacy of the initial treatment by defining a time period in that context and then performing a reasonable assessment of the patient’s response to the antidepressant. This may include (apart from clinical global impression) the use of patient self-rating scales (e.g., Beck Depression Inventory [BDI, Beck 1961], or the nine-item module of the Patient Health Questionnaire [PHQ-9; Spitzer et al. 1999]) and/or observer-ratings scales (e.g., Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression [HRSD; Hamilton 1960] or the Montgomery–Asberg Depression Rating Scale [MADRS]; Montgomery and Asberg 1979) (Rush and Kupfer 2001).

Criteria recommended for clarifying the relevant terms of treatment response are:

- nonresponse: ≤25% decrease in symptom severity compared to baseline
- partial response: 26–49% decrease in symptom severity compared to baseline
- response: ≥50% decrease in symptom severity compared to baseline
- response with partial remission
- remission: absence of symptoms defined by absolute scale score (sometimes called full response or full remission, for example a HRSD score of ≤7).

After a period of about 2–4 weeks of antidepressant treatment response should be evaluated and if insufficient, optimization strategies should be implemented. At least 8–10 weeks maybe required to define the full extent of symptom reduction (Rush and Kupfer 2001). If the initial treatment must be discontinued due to intolerable side effects, a switch to a different treatment is called for (for additional treatment options see below).

#### 2.1.5 When to declare initial treatment failure.

When to discontinue treatment with an antidepressant should be decided by the physician and the patient in collaboration, since the right moment for a change in the treatment plan is crucial. Changing the treatment strategy too early may lead to false conclusions, e.g., that the medication is ineffective, and discourage the patient. In contrast, persisting over a too long period without any response may lead to unnecessary prolongation of the patients’ suffering and duration of the episode.

The general consensus is that if the patient does not show any improvement after 2–4 weeks of treatment with an antidepressant dose at the upper level of the standard dose (Table II), it becomes less likely that he/she will respond to this particular medication later. If the patient is showing a partial response after 2–4 weeks it becomes more likely that the patient will respond after 8–12 weeks of therapy (Stassen et al. 1996; Szegedi et al. 2003). There is some evidence that older adults may take longer to show a response to “older” antidepressant medications (up to 12 weeks, Katona 1994). However, recent studies with SSRI suggest, that here older patients may not routinely require longer time to show response (see Sackeim et al. 2005).

#### 2.1.6 Diagnostic reassessment and optimizing antidepressant medication.

Before considering a switch in the treatment strategy, the first step should be a reappraisal of the diagnosis and adherence to the current treatment regimen. It may be important to take pharmacokinetic factors that affect plasma levels of antidepressants into consideration. If available, plasma levels of tricyclic antidepressants can be helpful in evaluating the adequacy of the dosage and the need for dose

---
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adjustment (see below and Table II). A review of the findings from physical examinations and laboratory results is wise to avoid overlooking coexisting general medical conditions, poorly controlled pain, non-psychiatric medications or hidden substance abuse that may underlie or be associated with the depressive episode. Persistent psychosocial stressors are also considered as a reason for non-response to treatment. Reevaluation of the adequacy of the medication dose is also advised. Often an optimization of the treatment can be achieved by increasing the dose of the antidepressant (Figure 3). This strategy is particularly useful for patients receiving TCAs, but there is less evidence to support it in SSRI-treated patients (Baker et al. 2003). Licht and Qvitzau even found a lower response rate with a substantial dose increase of sertraline than with staying at the same (moderate) dose for another 5 weeks (Licht and Qvitzau 2002). For a review see Adli et al. (2005*).

2.1.7 Therapeutic drug monitoring. Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) involves measuring the plasma concentration of a drug to ascertain whether concentrations are above, below or within an optimal therapeutic range. Other indications for TDM are to determine absorption and compliance with medication ingestion. Unlike with some tricyclical antidepressants, there is no clear relationship between clinical efficacy and plasma concentration of SSRIs, nor any threshold that defines toxic concentrations; therefore, routine monitoring of SSRI plasma levels cannot be recommended (see also Adli et al. 2005*). Plasma concentrations of antidepressants vary considerably among patients treated with similar dosages (Hiemke and Härtter 2000; Kent 2000).

2.1.8 Treatment options for the partial and non-responding patient. Regardless of the initial choice of antidepressant, at least 30% of depressions will not respond sufficiently to treatment (Thase and Rush 1995; Tranter et al. 2002; Nelson 2003). Various alternative treatment strategies have been proposed for these non- or partially responsive depressions (Amsterdam 1991; Nolen et al. 1994; Marangell 2001; Shelton 2003; Pridmore and Turnier-Shea 2004). The major types of strategies employed after reviewing correctness of diagnosis and sufficiency of drug dosing and compliance, are (1) switching to another antidepressant from a different pharmacological class (e.g., from a SSRI to a TCA), (2) switching to another antidepressant within the same pharmacological class (e.g., from a SSRI to another SSRI), (3) combining two antidepressants from different classes (e.g., a TCA plus a SSRI), (4) augmenting the antidepressant with other agents (e.g., lithium or thyroid hormone) to enhance antidepressant efficacy, and (5) combining the antidepressant with

---
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Figure 3. Flow Chart: Therapeutic options in partial and nonresponders to initial treatment with an antidepressant in major depressive disorder. 1Partial response: 26–49% decrease in baseline symptom severity; nonresponse: ≤25% decrease in baseline symptom severity. 2See Table II. 3Caution with combining irreversible MAO inhibitors (see 2.1.8.2). 4For indications see 2.3.
from different classes. Reasons in support of such strategy have been examined in a variety of agents and combinations; however, most studies have not been subjected to rigorous scientific investigation or have included small study groups.

Currently, no clear consensus exists on which strategy should be favoured for the non-responding patient, since to date no rigorous trial with a randomized, double-blind design has been conducted to answer this question (Crismon et al. 1999; Lam et al. 2004). Finally, some authors have argued in favour of augmentation strategies, e.g., lithium, because they have been repeatedly investigated in placebo-controlled trials.

2.1.8.1 Strategy 1: Switching to another antidepressant from a different class. The advantage of a switch to another antidepressant class is that it minimizes polypharmacy, which helps prevent toxicity and negative drug–drug interactions, it may lead to fewer or more tolerable side effects and can, therefore, improve patient compliance (Reynaert-Dupuis et al. 2002; Thase et al. 2002; Fava et al. 2003b).

Switching from an SSRI to, e.g., novel dual-acting antidepressants, selective norepinephrine or norepinephrine/dopaminergic agents, tricyclic anti-depressants or mianserin appears legitimate (see Ruhe et al. 2006* for a systematic review).

Disadvantages in such a switch are the loss of partial efficacy by switching from initial antidepressant and the relatively long period until the second agent can develop its antidepressive activity (delayed onset compared to augmentation or combination).

With longer use of most antidepressants step-down discontinuation within a period of 1–2 weeks is recommended rather than abrupt discontinuation, for this may cause withdrawal symptoms. Switching from or to an irreversible MAO inhibitor should be performed with caution and with a 2-week wash-out period between the two drugs (5 weeks with switch from fluoxetine) (Level B).

2.1.8.2 Strategy 2: Switching to another antidepressant from the same class. This has especially been demonstrated in a series of open-label studies showing that patients not responsive to one SSRI have a 40–70% chance of responding to a second SSRI (Level C) (Thase and Rush 1997). Another study has shown response rates from 50 to 60% when switching to another SSRI (Howland and Thase 1999).

2.1.8.3 Strategy 3: Combining two antidepressants from different classes. Reasons in support of such combination treatment include avoidance of loss of partial response with a monotherapy and less fear of worsening of depressive symptoms when a partially effective medication is discontinued. Disadvantages of this strategy are the increased risk of drug–drug interactions, potentiation of side effects and drug costs. Although often applied in clinical practice, few controlled data in support of the utility and efficacy of this strategy exist (Level C, applies to all combinations, Licht and Qvitzau 2002; De Battista et al. 2003). Combining irreversible MAO inhibitors with SSRIs and other antidepressants which act on the serotonergic system (e.g., clomipramine, venlafaxine) must be strictly avoided due to potentially fatal interactions (serotonin syndrome). Similarly, combinations of an SSRI with l-tryptophan must be avoided. See systematic review on combination by Dodd et al. (2005*).

In the STAR*D trial, the second-generation antidepressant bupropion or the anxiolytic buspirone added to the SSRI citalopram in patients that did not respond sufficiently to citalopram alone resulted in remission rates of about 30% in each group (Trivedi et al. 2006; for design issues of this multisite, prospective, sequentially randomized trial in psychiatric depressed outpatients, see Rush et al. 2004).

2.1.8.4 Strategy 4: Augmentation of antidepressants. This type of augmentation therapy involves adding a second drug other than an antidepressant to the treatment regimen when no response or only partial response has been achieved, with the goal of enhancing treatment. One advantage of augmentation is that it eliminates the period of transition between one antidepressant to another and builds on the partial response. Consequently, when they work, augmentation strategies can have a rapid effect. Secondly, augmentation is of benefit for patients who have had some response and may be reluctant to risk losing that improvement.

Numerous augmentation strategies have been described for use in treatment-resistant depression. Of these, lithium is the most important and best-documented, with more than 27 open studies and 10 placebo-controlled trials in the acute treatment phase of unipolar and bipolar depression (Level A, Review: Fawcett 2003; Bauer et al. 2006*). Adding lithium to ongoing antidepressant treatment is thus recommended as the first choice of an augmentation strategy (Bauer and Döpfmer 1999). Lithium has been found to augment the therapeutic effects of a broad spectrum of antidepressants including TCAs (Joffe et al. 1993; Katona et al. 1995) and SSRIs (Katona et al. 1995; Baumann et al. 1996; Zullino and Baumann 2001). A meta-analysis including 10
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prospective studies provided firm evidence that lithium augmentation is superior to placebo in unipolar major depression, with response rates on average of 41.2% in the lithium group and 14.4% in the placebo group (Crosley and Bauer, in press). Lithium augmentation should be administered for 2–4 weeks in order to allow assessment of the patient’s response. The recommended lithium doses (about 20–30 mmol/day) characteristically achieve serum lithium levels of 0.6–0.8 mmol/l (Bschor et al. 2003). In the case of response, lithium augmentation should be continued for at least 12 months (Bauer et al. 2000; Bschor et al. 2002).

Studies assessing the effects of thyroid hormones in treatment-resistant depression have largely focused on T3 as the augmenting thyroid hormone. Numerous case series and at least 13 prospective trials (nine open and four controlled double-blind studies) have evaluated the use of T3; most studies administered 25–37.5 μg/day T3 to potentiate the response to TCAs in non-responders (Level B) (Joffe et al. 1993; Altshuler et al. 2001). However, not all controlled double-blind studies yielded significant results in favour of T3 augmentation (Aronson et al. 1996). A small number of open studies have reported response rates of about 50% for treatment-resistant depressed patients using higher, supraphysiological doses of l-thyroxine (T4) (Level D) (Bauer et al. 1998, 2005). Thyroid hormones should be administered with caution because of potential unwanted effects.

Another more recent strategy is to combine antidepressants with an atypical antipsychotic. Mainly open studies and case series show favourable outcomes with combination and augmentation (Ostroff and Nelson 1999; Barbee et al. 2004; Worthington et al. 2005). Only one 8-week double-blind controlled trial showed significantly greater improvement with the combination of olanzapine and fluoxetine than with either drug alone (Shelton et al. 2001b). See Shelton et al. (2005*) and Corya et al. (2006*) for further double blind RCTs.

2.2 Herbal remedies

For patients who are reluctant to take traditional antidepressants, herbal remedies provide an alternative. There is evidence from a substantial number of controlled trials that suggests that extracts from the plant Hypericum perforatum (popularly called St. John’s wort) are more effective than placebo for the short-term treatment of mild to moderate depressive disorders (Level A) (Linde and Mulrow 2001 (Cochrane Review); update Linde et al. 2005*). Compared to tricyclic antidepressants and SSRIs, there seems to be no significant difference in treatment response (Linde et al. 2005). However, a placebo-controlled multi-centre trial found no benefits of St. John’s wort compared to placebo treatment of patients with moderate to severe major depression (Shelton et al. 2001a). Thus, from the available data, St. John’s wort cannot be recommended for the treatment of severe depression (Wernecke et al. 2004).

The standard administered dose of hypericum (St. John’s wort) is 600–900 mg/day. Adverse side effects appear to occur less frequently with St. John’s wort compared to tricyclic antidepressants (Kim et al. 1999). As yet, little information is available on the herb’s medium to long-term efficacy and side effects (AHCPR 1999; Linde and Mulrow 2001). Health care providers should keep in mind that there is evidence that hypericum can interact with a number of prescription drugs (for example, it can decrease blood levels of TCAs and antiretroviral medications used in the treatment of HIV infection, Izzo 2004). In addition, there have been concerns about the purity and variable potency of the herbal remedies.

2.3 Electroconvulsive therapy

Among the indications for electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) as a first-line treatment are: severe major depression with psychotic features, severe major depression with psychomotor retardation, “true” treatment-resistant major depression (see 6.3), refusal of food intake or in other special situations when rapid relief from depression is required (e.g., in severe suicidality or in pregnancy) (American Psychiatric Association 2000). In these cases, referral to specialist psychiatric care and, in most cases, inpatient treatment, should be considered. ECT in treatment-resistant depression was shown in a randomized study to yield significantly more reduction in scores of mood-rating scales compared to paroxetine (Folkerts et al. 1997). In a meta-analysis, ECT was more effective than antidepressant treatment (both compared to TCAs and MAOIs, Pagnin et al. 2004). In general, maintenance treatment is needed, either with medication or ECT.

2.4 Psychotherapy

Though not the main focus of this guideline, psychotherapy plays an important part in the management of depressed patients in primary care. It
should be considered as an initial treatment modality for patients with mild depression. Further, psychotherapy is recommended in combination with antidepressants for patients with moderate to severe forms of depression and for patients who have had partial responses to antidepressant medications or who have had problems with adherence to antidepressants (Rush and Thase 1999).

Brief, structured psychotherapy sessions have been shown to be effective in the acute-phase treatment of major depression (Frank et al. 2000) and in preventing relapse in the continuation-phase treatment (Jarrett et al. 2001). The best studied psychotherapies efficacious for depression include: cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) (Rush et al. 1977; Beck et al 1979; Dobson 1989; Gaffan et al. 1995; Blackburn and Moore 1997; Glogauen et al. 1998; DeRubeis et al 1999; Hollon et al. 1992; Petersen et al. 2004), behavioural therapy (Rehm 1979; Bellack and Hersen 1983; Lewinsohn and Clarke 1984; Nezu 1986; AHCPR 1993; Jarrett et al. 1994), interpersonal therapy (IPT) (Klerman et al. 1984; Elkin et al 1989; Schulberg et al 1996; Markowitz 2003) and the cognitive behavioural analysis system of psychotherapy (CBASP) (McCullough 2000, 2003). Several of these forms of psychotherapy also appear effective in elderly depressed patients (Hautzinger and Welz 2004; for a systematic review see Hollon et al. 2005). There is less empirical evidence for the efficacy of other types of psychotherapy (for example psychodynamic psychotherapy); however, this does not imply that such treatments are not effective.

Combination of antidepressants and psychotherapy is more effective than the isolated prescription of pharmacotherapy (de Jonghe 2001; Burnand et al. 2002; Jindal and Thase 2003; see Keller et al. 2000 for efficacy in chronic depression). However, one study has shown no advantage of combining antidepressants with psychotherapy (de Jonghe et al. 2004).

Problem-solving treatment (PST) has been shown in one randomized controlled trial to be an effective treatment for depressive disorders in primary care (Mynors-Wallis et al. 2000). In reducing depressive symptoms in elderly people, PST is also an effective treatment option (Alexopoulos 2003). PST can be delivered by non-specialists after training and is, therefore, a cost-effective alternative to formal psychotherapy. It is, however, often not available at all or not promptly enough in the primary care settings of many countries.

When evaluating study data which compare psychotherapeutic and pharmacological treatment, potential bias due to the expectations of the patients and ineffective blinding, as well as insufficient power, have to be considered.

2.5 Light therapy

Seasonal affective disorder (SAD) is a distinct subtype of recurrent major depression that manifests in a seasonal pattern (Rosenthal et al. 1984; American Psychiatric Association 1994a). It is estimated that about 5–10% of the general population, predominantly women, are affected (Kasper et al. 1989; Rosen et al. 1990). “Winter” depression is the most common type of SAD in which patients experience symptoms of clinical depression during the fall and winter, with full remission during the spring and summer seasons.

The first choice treatment of SAD is light therapy where administration is possible and compliance is given (Level A). SSRIs seem to be equally effective but take longer to improve symptoms and induce more side effects (Lam et al. 1995; Ruhrmann et al. 1998; Lee and Chan 1999; Thompson 2002). For detailed reviews, see Golden et al. (2005*) and Pjrek et al. (2005*).

The preferred device for light therapy is a fluorescent light box (which provides white, fluorescent light with ultraviolet wavelengths filtered out) that produces light intensities greater than 2,500 lux. The starting “dose” for light therapy is 10,000 lux for 30–40 min/day, administered each morning for a 2–4-week period. Alternatively, light boxes emitting 2,500 lux require 2 h of exposure per day (Lam and Levitt 1999). Correct positioning (seated close enough to the light box, i.e. no more than 50–80 cm apart, eyes opened) is important. Patients usually show improvement within 1 week, but it can take up to 4 weeks for the full response to be achieved. If a light box is not available, “natural light treatment” may be administered in patients with SAD by a daily 1-hour outdoor morning walk for two or more weeks (Wirz-Justice et al. 1996; Levitt et al 2002).

There are no absolute contraindications to light therapy and no evidence that it is associated with ocular or retinal damage. However, patients with ocular risk factors should have a pretreatment ophthalmological consultation. The common side effects of light therapy reported by patients in clinical trials include eye strain or visual disturbances, headache, agitation, nausea, sedation and, very rarely, hypomania or mania. These side effects are generally mild and transient and resolve with time or with reduction of the light dosage (Lam and Levitt 1999).
A meta-analysis of studies assessing the efficacy of light therapy in nonseasonal depression did not find an overall statistically significant difference in treatment response compared to control treatment. However, high-quality studies and studies applying morning treatment did show superiority of bright light therapy (Tuunainen et al. 2004 (Cochrane Review)).

2.6 Adjunctive therapy

Interventions intended to provide complementary effects are referred to as adjunctive therapies (Thase et al. 1998). Pharmacological as well as non-pharmacological adjunctive therapies have been suggested for the treatment of major depression (Marangell 2000). Included below is a review of antipsychotics, tranquilizers/anxiolytics, sleep deprivation and exercise training. Many of these treatments may help to reduce anxiety/insomnia and psychotic symptoms until full recovery is achieved.

2.6.1 Antipsychotics. Major depressive disorder may be associated with delusions and/or hallucinations (American Psychiatric Association 2000). Patients with psychotic depression have a considerably better response rate to the combination of an antidepressant plus an antipsychotic than to treatment with only one component alone (Level A) (Spiker et al. 1985; Rothschild et al. 1993; Thase 2002; Rothschild 2003; Shelton 2003; Klein et al. 2004). In these patients, it is recommended to combine an antidepressant with an antipsychotic medication when treatment is initiated (Level A). In a recent meta-analysis combining two studies (Spiker et al. 1985; Mulsant et al. 2001) the combination of a tricyclic antidepressant with a classical antipsychotic was more efficient than the tricyclic alone; however, the difference did not reach statistical significance (Wijkstra et al. 2006*). The newer, “atypical” antipsychotics (e.g., amisulpride, aripiprazole, olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone, ziprasidone) may be preferred over the classic antipsychotics (e.g., chlorpromazine, fluphenazine, haloperidol) or clozapine, due to their lower risk of extrapyramidal symptoms (Ostrow and Nelson 1999; Corya et al. 2003; Barbee et al 2004; Masand 2004). However, the higher risk of metabolic syndrome with atypical antipsychotics should be considered. There are no controlled data that have compared the “newer” with the “older” antipsychotics in psychotic depression. Usually antipsychotics are administered to depressed patients at lower doses than those used in schizophrenia.

2.6.2 Tranquilizers/anxiolytics. Although tranquilizers (especially benzodiazepines) are frequently used as adjunctive medication in clinical practice worldwide, it is believed by many experts that benzodiazepines in general do not considerably affect the state of mood. Yet a review reported rates for co-administration of an antidepressant and a tranquilizer to be between 30 and 60% of depressed patients in most countries (Furukawa et al. 2001; Valenstein et al. 2004). The reason for this widespread use is most likely the fast onset of action that reduces anxiety, agitation and insomnia in many patients, and the high rate (between 33 and 85% across studies) of anxiety co-morbidity among patients with major depression. In a systematic review, Furukawa et al. (2001) showed that patients with combination treatment of antidepressants and anxiolytics were more likely to show response at 1 and 4 weeks than patients with antidepressant treatment only (although the difference was not longer significant at 6–8 weeks). Benefits of adding anxiolytics have to be balanced against risk of dependence and prono-ness to accidents.

In each individual patient the potential benefits of adjunctive treatment with benzodiazepines must be carefully balanced against possible harm (including sedation, psychomotor and cognitive impairment, memory loss, potentiation of other central nervous system depressants and treatment-emergent depression, development of dependence and discontinuation syndromes). Predisposed individuals are at greater risk for developing dependency and tolerance; thus, benzodiazepines should not be administered to patients with a history of or current alcohol or drug abuse/dependence. It is also recommended that the duration of benzodiazepine administration in depressed patients be restricted to a maximum period of approximately 4 weeks.

2.6.3 Sleep deprivation. Total or partial sleep deprivation (SD) may be the only antidepressant intervention with marked beneficial same-day effects providing transient amelioration of depression in about 60% of patients (Level A) (Kuhs and Tolle 1991; Wirz-Justice and Van den Hoofdakker 1999; Giedke et al. 2003). It is an attractive adjunctive treatment for major depression because it works rapidly, is noninvasive, inexpensive and well tolerated by the majority of patients. However, most patients who do respond subsequently relapse after one night of sleep (Wu and Bunney 1990; Giedke and Schwarzler 2002). Usually, the antidepressant effect can be replicated by repeated total sleep deprivation (Level B) (Wiegand et al. 2001) or by
comparing sleep deprivation with subsequent phase advance of the sleep period (Level D) (Riemann et al. 1999). Bright light therapy has been shown to stabilize the antidepressant effect of partial sleep deprivation (Neumeister et al. 1996).

2.6.4 Exercise training. Studies of healthy young people have shown that physical activity may have positive effects on mood. Open studies of short-term effects of an adjunctive daily aerobic exercise program suggested relatively rapid (after 14 days) mood improvements in patients with major depression (Dimeo et al. 2001). A critical review on this treatment option discussed the potential mechanism of action of exercise (Brosse et al. 2002). The effectiveness of this treatment strategy could not be analysed in a meta-analysis because of a lack of good quality research (Lawler and Hopker 2001). Recently, in a randomized, placebo-controlled study, significant antidepressant effects of walking could be shown in 38 depressed patients (Knubben et al. 2006*).

2.7 Other treatment options

Rapid transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) works via direct stimulation of brain areas through an electromagnetic coil placed near the scalp. Studies evaluating the efficacy of rTMS are heterogeneous regarding the frequency and location of stimulation and show inconsistent results. A recent meta-analysis showed a small benefit compared to sham treatment immediately after 2-week treatment trials (Martin et al. 2003).

Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) stimulates the brain indirectly via the vagus nerve (cranial nerve X). A generator about the size of a pocket watch is implanted subcutaneously into the left chest wall and is connected to bipolar electrodes that are attached to the left vagus nerve within the neck. Theoretically, activation of the vagus nerve may improve mood via its ascending projections to the amygdala and other limbic structures known to influence emotion and mood (George et al. 2000). There are two small open studies showing response rates of about 30% (Sackeim et al. 2001; Marangell et al. 2002). Data of a sham-controlled trial were published after the date of literature search, essentially showing no difference in response after 10 weeks but increasing response rates for VNS over the year (George et al. 2005; Rush et al. 2005).

3 Continuation-phase treatment of major depressive disorder

The objective of continuation treatment is to decrease the likelihood of relapse in the vulnerable period following symptomatic recovery from depression (i.e. to prevent a return of the current episode of depression) (AHCPR 1993). The continuation phase of treatment is generally considered to be the 6-month period of time immediately following full remission. However, some authors recommend continued treatment for up to 9 months (Reimherr et al. 1998; Hirschfeld 2001; Rush and Kupfer 2001). In general, patients with a history of long previous episodes are candidates for continuation-phase treatment of more than 9 months (Rush and Kupfer 2001). Because residual symptoms (partial remission) are strong predictors of subsequent early relapse, it is recommended to continue treatment until such symptoms have subsided (Paykel et al. 1995).

In placebo-controlled continuation therapy trials, relapse rates ranged from 31 to 80% for those patients who received placebo, compared with only 0 to 31% of those who received active medication (Prien and Kupfer 1986; Prien 1990; Geddes et al. 2003). It is recommended that the same antidepressant successfully used to achieve relief in the acute-phase therapy be continued at the same dose during the continuation phase (Level A) (Thase 1999; Rush and Kupfer 2001). If no relapse occurs during continuation therapy, a gradual discontinuation of the antidepressant medication is recommended (Rosenbaum et al. 1998). Patients should be carefully monitored during and immediately after discontinuation to ensure the stability of the remission (American Psychiatric Association 2000). If tapering off results in a return of symptoms, the medication in the original dose should be continued for at least another 6 months before attempting discontinuation again. After a successful course of acute-phase lithium augmentation, combined treatment using an antidepressant and lithium is suggested to be better than the combination of an antidepressant and placebo in the continuation-phase (Bauer et al. 2000; Bschor et al. 2002).

4 Maintenance-phase treatment of major depressive disorder

4.1 General treatment principles of maintenance treatment

4.1.1 Goals and indications. The goals of long-term maintenance (prophylactic) treatment are to prevent

* Published after the date of systematic literature search
a recurrence, suicide and development of chronicity. A recurrence is an episode of depression that appears after a completely asymptomatic period (remission) has been achieved for a 6-month period (recovery) (Frank et al. 1991; Kupfer 1993; Keller 2002). Consideration of the patient’s course of illness and treatment history is essential for the implementation of maintenance phase therapy. Even though no definite recommendation can be given as to when prophylactic therapy should be initiated, it is clearly indicated in situations associated with a high risk of recurrence (Brunello et al. 1995; Dawson et al. 1998; Angst 1999a; Hirschfeld 2001; Paykel 2001) (Table IV). Patient preference, severity of functional impairments and side effects experienced during the continuation phase also play a role in determining whether or not maintenance treatment should be implemented (AHCPR 1993; American Psychiatric Association 2000).

### 4.1.2 Treatment implementation

Key elements of long-term treatment of recurrent depressive disorders include: (1) psychoeducation, (2) pharmacotherapy and (3) adherence monitoring. Because maintenance treatment requires medication compliance, education and a close therapeutic alliance with patients and their families are essential (Kupfer 1993). Strategies to prepare patients and their families for maintenance treatment should include the following topics: typical course of the illness, treatment options, medication effects and side effects, use of (daily) self-reporting instruments to track mood and early warning signs of relapse or recurrence, long-term perspectives and projected end of treatment. It is also important to inform the patient that several different treatments may be necessary before the best individual treatment is identified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table IV. Factors associated with increased risk for recurrence in major depressive disorder.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Three or more episodes of major depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• High prior frequency of recurrence (e.g., two episodes within 5 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Previous episode during continuation phase treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Residual symptoms during continuation phase treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Concurrent dysthymic disorder (“double depression”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Severity of episodes (includes suicidality and psychotic features)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Longer previous episodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Relapse after medication withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Concurrent coexisting substance abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Concurrent coexisting anxiety disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Family history of major depressive disorder in first-degree relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Onset prior to age 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Age of 60 or 65 and older</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The frequency of visits may range from monthly visits to every 3–6 months in stable patients and involve (brief) psychiatric evaluation and medication monitoring (e.g., side effect assessment, medication blood levels). In unstable patients, more frequent visits are required. If the patient develops other medical conditions while on maintenance treatment, potential drug–drug interactions should be considered by the treating physician (see Table V). Patients/families should be also educated to inform the treating physician when and if signs of depression reoccur.

### 4.2 Pharmacotherapy of maintenance treatment

#### 4.2.1 Evidence of efficacy

Pharmacotherapy, especially antidepressant medications and lithium, is the most studied treatment modality in the long-term maintenance treatment of recurrent unipolar depression. The majority of controlled trials investigating these medications in maintenance treatment demonstrated efficacy for relapse prevention (AHCPR 1993, 1999; Solomon and Bauer 1993; Davis et al. 1999; Hirschfeld 2001). Likely reasons why antidepressants may be preferred to lithium are that patients are usually treated with antidepressants during the acute/continuation phase and they usually prefer to use medication that does not require regular monitoring by blood tests. Most importantly, the choice of which medication to use depends on how individual patients respond to and tolerate treatment with antidepressants and lithium (Schou 1997). Patients’ preference and experience with maintenance treatment should also be considered in the choice of the medication.

#### 4.2.1.1 Antidepressants

Many patients receive antidepressants during the acute and continuation phase. Further, to prevent recurrence of depression the best treatment recommendation is to continue the antidepressant medication that was effective during the acute and continuation phase of treatment at the same dose during the maintenance phase (Level B) (Frank et al. 1993; Franchini et al. 1998; for a systematic review with meta-analysis, see Geddes et al. 2003).

Even mild to moderate side effects during maintenance treatment may lead to noncompliance with the consequence of symptom worsening and increased risk of recurrence. Using medications with a
more favourable side effect profile than the tricyclic antidepressants may facilitate patient compliance with pharmacotherapy. The “newer” antidepressants are associated with fewer long-term side effects than are the older tricyclics and tetracyclics (AHCPR 1993, 1999; American Psychiatric Association 2000; Peretti et al. 2000; Masand and Gupta 2002).

### 4.2.1.2 Lithium

The use of lithium as maintenance therapy for unipolar recurrent depression is well established (Level A) (Goodwin and Jamison 1990; Schou 1997; Dunner 1998; Coppen 2000; WFSBP Guidelines for biological treatment of unipolar depressive disorders 2002).
Paykel 2001; Fawcett 2003). Two meta-analyses found evidence that lithium is more effective than placebo in preventing recurrence of unipolar depressive illness (Souza and Goodwin 1991; Burgess et al. 2003), but only in one were the results statistically significant (Souza and Goodwin 1991). Over the past decade, evidence has accumulated from retrospective and prospective studies that long-term lithium prophylaxis may reduce suicide risk and even normalize the high mortality rate (Level C) (Coppen et al. 1990; Müller-Oerlinghausen 1992, 1994; Tondo et al. 1997; Schou 2000; Coryell et al. 2001; Tondo et al. 2001; Goodwin et al. 2003).

Serum lithium levels of 0.5–0.8 mmol/l (mEq/l) measured 12 h after last lithium intake are usually recommended for maintenance treatment (Schou 1989). In patients 60 years of age or younger these recommended serum levels are generally achieved with a daily dose of about 12–30 mmol (about 10–20 mmol for Asian patients), and about 6–12 mmol in older patients (see also Birch et al. 1993). There is no difference in efficacy whether lithium tablets are administered once or twice per day. Some patients find a single daily dose facilitates long-term treatment compliance and reduces side effects. In general, extended release forms of lithium are better tolerated.

One advantage of maintenance therapy with lithium is the long and worldwide experience with this agent. Specialized lithium clinics for the prophylactic long-term treatment of patients with affective disorders have been established for more than 30 years in many countries and have provided longitudinal assessments of the side effects of lithium treatment (Schou 1997). Side effects of lithium treatment are usually dose dependent and can often be prevented or relieved by a moderate reduction in dosage (American Psychiatric Association 1994b). Side effects may include: hand tremor (counteracted by a β-blocker), goitre and hypothyroidism (counteracted by additional administration of l-thyroxine [L-T₄] to achieve euthyroid status), lowered renal concentrating ability and polyuria and/or polydipsia (warning against dehydration, possibly reduction of dosage), weight gain (requiring moderate dieting and exercise), gastrointestinal problems such as nausea, dyspepsia, loose stools (managed by administering lithium with meals or switching lithium preparations or dose reduction) and in few cases memory impairment/mental slowness (counteracted by dose reduction) (Birch et al. 1993; American Psychiatric Association 1994b). A small percentage of patients treated with lithium may develop rising creatinine concentrations after 10 years or more of treatment. However, in patients treated with 15 or more years of lithium therapy, affection of both glomerular and tubular function seems to be more common (Bendz et al. 1994).

During the long-term use of lithium, regular laboratory monitoring of serum lithium levels (three to four times per year and more frequently if clinically required, e.g., in early stages of treatment, with older patients or after clinical changes have become apparent), thyroid function (e.g., TSH level) and renal function (creatinine) (once or twice a year) is recommended (Birch et al. 1993; American Psychiatric Association 1994b; Schou 1997; Kleiner et al. 1999). The purpose of measuring serum lithium levels is to ensure that high serum lithium levels are detected and lowered and to ensure that steps are taken to prevent abnormally low serum levels. It is also important to educate the patients and their families on the warning signs of lithium toxicity.

A relatively small number of studies have directly compared different medications for maintenance treatment in recurrent unipolar depression (Solomon and Bauer 1993). A meta-analysis of studies comparing lithium with other antidepressants showed no conclusive advantage for lithium in the prophylaxis of unipolar illness (Souza and Goodwin 1991). Although the evidence for prophylactic efficacy of carbamazepine in unipolar depression is limited, results indicate that carbamazepine may be an alternative for those patients who do not tolerate or respond to maintenance treatment with lithium or antidepressants (Level C) (Figure 4).

4.2.2 Treatment of symptomatic worsening and recurrence. Brief, mild depressive symptoms (“blips”) frequently occur during maintenance treatment. They are self-limited and, in contrast to recurrences, do not require specific interventions or a change in the maintenance treatment plan. Psychiatric management (e.g., dose adjustment, reassurance) and additional short-term treatment with a benzodiazepine or hypnotic medication to treat insomnia and/or anxiety or an adjunctive course of psychotherapy to help address specific psychosocial stressors may be useful (Rush 1999).

During a prodromal phase of a full-blown recurrence many patients display a somewhat predictable pattern of symptoms. When a patient suffers a recurrence of a depressive episode despite ongoing maintenance treatment (breakthrough episode), physicians face a considerable challenge. Early intervention can shorten the length of the episode (Kupfer et al. 1989). The “differential diagnosis” of a recurrence includes evaluation of occult substance abuse, occult physical illness (e.g., thyroid dysfunction), nonadherence to medication and the possibility of adverse life events (Rush 1999). Patients experiencing a new depressive episode while taking
a mood stabilizer or an antidepressant may benefit from treatment optimization (e.g., increase of serum level to the upper end of the therapeutic level, addition of thyroid hormone if thyroid function is low – particularly in lithium-treated patients – additional psychotherapeutic interventions and visits). If the patient does not improve with treatment optimization, another round of adequate acute phase treatment should be initiated followed by continuation treatment (see above).

4.2.3 Maintenance treatment options for prophylaxis-resistant depression. There is growing recognition that prophylactic treatment of affective disorders may be inadequately performed in a substantial proportion of patients. The maintenance treatment of patients who experience recurrences during prophylactic treatment with standard agents, e.g., lithium or antidepressants, is one of the most challenging issues in the treatment of these disorders. However, little data from formal studies is available to guide physicians in the maintenance treatment of patients with MDD is presented in Figure 4. The possible treatment options include combining an antidepressant with lithium, combining lithium plus carbamazepine, combining two different antidepressants and ECT (Level D).

4.3 Duration and discontinuation of maintenance treatment

The optimal time to discontinue long-term medication is difficult to predict. Substantial evidence from a controlled 5-year study shows that patients who receive active full-dose medication for at least 5 years benefit the most from continued prophylaxis (Level B) (Kupfer et al. 1992). For some patients, maintenance treatment is required over a long period of time (e.g., a decade) and for others indefinitely (Rush and Kupfer 2001). Three years of maintenance therapy is appropriate almost as a routine for patients with recurrences, particularly where an episode prior to the present one has occurred in the last 5 years or where remission has been difficult to achieve. Maintenance for over 5 years or indefinitely is recommended for those patients at greater risk, particularly where two or three attempts to withdraw medication have been followed by another episode within a year. In clinical practice, antidepressants should always be
tapered off slowly over a 4–6-month period after long-term maintenance therapy to allow the early detection of emerging symptoms and to minimize the risk of discontinuation syndromes. Discontinuation symptoms after abrupt antidepressant cessation have been reported for all drug classes. They are in general mild and brief but can nevertheless be distressing for the patient. They include apart from a high risk for early recurrences (Viguera et al. 1998), e.g., with SSRIs and SNRIs dizziness, ataxia, gastrointestinal and flu-like symptoms as well as sleep disturbances. With lithium, the risk for recurrences after discontinuation seems to be also mainly influenced by the abruptness of the cessation. There is a higher risk for immediate new manic and depressive episodes after lithium discontinuation (Cavanagh et al. 2004), although there is continuing debate about whether this applies to unipolar depressive patients and whether discontinuation might result in decrease in efficacy (see also MacQueen and Joffe 2004). A special discontinuation syndrome has so far not been clearly shown (Schou 1998).

During the period of discontinuation, the patient should be closely monitored. To identify those in whom a relapse is likely after the discontinuation is completed, the monitoring should continue for the next few months (e.g., particularly for the next 6 months, which appear to be a period of high risk for recurrence; Rush and Kupfer 2001). If the full depressive episode recurs during or after discontinuation, the full therapeutic dosage should be promptly readministered (AHCPR 1993). Regardless of the reason for the point in time at which long-term pharmacotherapy is discontinued, the patient should be educated about the risk of recurrence and its early warning signs.

4.4 Switching from unipolar depression to bipolar disorder
A change of diagnosis over time from unipolar depression to bipolar disorder has been described in approximately 10–20% of patients (Angst et al. 1978; Akiskal et al. 1995; Solomon et al. 1997). Antidepressants, particularly tricyclics, can precipitate mania in some patients with apparent unipolar depression (Altshuler et al. 1995; Parker and Parker 2003). If a switch to mania occurs during the maintenance phase treatment in unipolar depression, rapid tapering of the antidepressant and concomitant treatment of the manic episode is essential (for more information on the treatment of mania, see WFSBP Guidelines for the Treatment of Bipolar Disorder).

4.5 Psychotherapy
These guidelines focus on biological (somatic) treatments; therefore, psychotherapeutic treatments alone or in combination with pharmacotherapy will only be mentioned briefly and no levels of evidence are provided. Instead, references for further reading are given.

Maintenance psychotherapy as the sole treatment to prevent recurrence has received less study and at this point is not recommended as a first-line treatment unless the patient does not wish to or cannot take medication for some reason (e.g., pregnancy) (AHCPR 1993). It is, however, a treatment option for some patients. Preliminary data suggest that cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) may be an effective treatment for preventing recurrence (Teasdale et al. 2000; Jarrett et al. 2001; Vos et al. 2004), including in patients who had been successfully treated with antidepressant drugs (Fava et al. 1998). There is some indication that patients with residual depression benefit from CBT for preventing recurrence (Fava et al. 1998; Paykel et al. 1999). Maintenance interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT-M) has also been suggested (Frank et al. 2000; Browne et al. 2002). In addition, a cognitive-behavioural analysis system of psychotherapy may provide an alternative maintenance treatment for chronic depression (Klein et al. 2004).

5 Treatment of chronic depressive disorders
The most striking characteristics of chronic depressive disorders are undertreatment and social impairment. Patients with chronic depression are often not or inadequately treated (Keller et al. 1995).

5.1 Dysthymic disorder
ICD-10 defines dysthymia broadly as a chronic depression of mood which does not currently fulfill the criteria for recurrent depressive disorder in terms of either severity or duration of individual episodes (WHO 1991). Similarly, DSM-IV characterizes dysthymic disorder as a chronic mild depressive syndrome that has been present for two years or longer (American Psychiatric Association 1994a). Individuals with dysthymia frequently have a superimposed major depressive disorder (“double depression”), and those patients are less likely to have a complete recovery compared to patients with major depressive disorder without dysthymia (American Psychiatric Association 2000). However, patients with “double depression” who are treated during a major depressive episode also benefit with respect to their dysthymia (Akiskal 1994; Kocsis 2003).
5.2 Pharmacotherapy of dysthymic disorder

Although data from controlled studies with antidepressants are still limited, a comprehensive review has confirmed efficacy of various antidepressants in dysthymic disorder (Level A) (World Psychiatric Association Dysthymia Working Group 1995). A recent meta-analysis of 15 randomized controlled trials that used various drugs (mostly antidepressants, TCAs, SSRIs and MAO inhibitors) versus placebo showed that drugs are more effective than placebo, with no difference between and within classes of drugs (De Lima and Moncrieff 2000; De Lima and Hotopf 2003). Although the optimal length of pharmacotherapy in dysthymia has not been studied in a controlled design, a course of treatment with an antidepressant for at least 2–3 years is recommended as in MDD. Better tolerability and side effect profiles compared to the “older” antidepressants (e.g., TCAs) make the SSRIs and other “newer” antidepressants the prime candidates for the long-term treatment of dysthymia (Level A). Amisulpride, a second-generation antipsychotic, showed similar efficacy to the SSRI paroxetine (Rocca et al. 2002) and a higher efficacy than sertraline (Montgomery 2002). Recommended antidepressant doses for dysthymia are similar to those given for acute treatment of a major depressive episode.

There is less evidence regarding the efficacy of antidepressants in dysthymia in older patients. One recent trial suggested that fluoxetine has only limited efficacy compared to placebo and that further research for prediction of response in elderly dysthymic patients is needed (Devanand et al. 2005). An open label trial of venlafaxine suggested a high response rate, although only 18 of 23 patients completed the 12-week treatment (Devanand et al. 2004).

6 Treatment in special circumstances

Under special circumstances the treatment of depression must be modified. These circumstances include depression co-occurring with other psychiatric conditions (anxiety disorders, substance abuse or dependencies), depression in children and adolescents, depression in older adults (where appropriate), depression due to a general medical condition and depression during pregnancy and breast-feeding. In these cases a psychiatric specialist should be involved (see WFSBP guidelines by Bauer et al. 2002a).

6.1 Comorbidity of depression with other psychiatric disorders

6.1.1 Co-morbid anxiety disorders. Up to 30% of unipolar depressive patients suffer from additional anxiety disorders including panic disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Wittchen et al. 1999). SSRIs and dual antidepressants (Fawcett und Barkin 1998; Rudolph et al. 1998) but also TCAs and MAO inhibitors can be used effectively. The initial dose should be low (e.g., 5 mg fluoxetine or 10 mg paroxetine) and a gradual increase up to the therapeutic dose should be adapted to the occurrence of side effects. CBT is also effective in treating anxiety disorders co-occurring with depression. Anxiolytics should only be used in the first few days of the episode when severe anxiety is present.

6.1.2 Substance abuse or dependence. Co-morbid substance abuse or dependence is highly prevalent in depression (in 30–60% of patients with abuse/dependence mood and anxiety disorders occur and approximately one-third of patients with mood disorders additionally report times of substance abuse/dependence in their history; Regier et al. 1993; Scott et al. 1998). In primary mood disorders, it is very important to treat both disorders, as co-morbid substance abuse impairs treatment adherence and effectiveness. In some cases treating the substance abuse first should be considered as this could result in decrease of depressive symptomatology. Be aware of possible interactions of antidepressants and, e.g., methadone, where respiratory insufficiency and sedation may occur.

Substance-induced mood symptoms only occur in intoxication and withdrawal (whereas primary depression usually precedes abuse and can be present in abstinence too; American Psychiatric Association 1994). Here, antidepressants should
be given with caution because of a higher risk of unwanted effects. Tailored cognitive psychotherapy proved effective (Scott et al. 1998).

6.2 Treatment of depression in older adults

MDD in late life is more prevalent than previously reported. Underrecognized and undertreated MDD in late life is associated with a poor prognosis (Cole et al 1999; Katona 2000; Steffens et al 2000; Whyte et al. 2004). There are particular challenges in treating older people with MDD effectively and safely. Changes in physiology associated with advancing age produce clinically significant differences in drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics in older versus younger adult patients (Rabheru 2004). Older adults are also more likely to require and receive treatment for co-morbid illnesses, which increases the potential for serious pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic drug–drug interactions (Preskorn 1993; Dunner 2003).

There is relatively little data on the use of antidepressants in older patients, especially in the very old (>75 years) and in those with significant medical co-morbidity, dementia or neurological problems (Flint 1998; Roose and Suthers 1998; Roose et al. 2004). Compared to placebo, a systematic review reported TCAs, SSRIs, and mirtazapine to be more effective in patients older than 55 years (Taylor and Doraistwamy 2004). Three meta-analyses of different antidepressant classes in older depressed patients (age > 55 or > 60) did not show significant differences in antidepressant class with regard to efficacy or tolerability (Mittmann et al 1997; McCusker et al 1998; Gerson et al 1999). Nortriptyline, a secondary amine tricyclic compound, has been the most systematically studied antidepressant in the elderly (Level A) (Flint 1998; Roose and Suthers 1998; Reynolds et al. 2001).

The efficacy and safety of SSRIs in older depressed patients have been evaluated in a number of clinical trials of sertraline, paroxetine and fluoxetine (Level A) (Dunner et al 1992; Tollefson et al 1995; Mulsant et al 1998; Roose and Suthers 1998; Bondareff et al 2000; Muijsers et al. 2002). Venlafaxine and reboxetine have also been shown to be effective in comparative double-blind trials (Katona et al 1999; Staab and Evans 2000) (Level B). Additionally, a meta-analysis found efficacy for moclobemide in geriatric patients (Level A) (Angst and Stabl 1992).

Compared to young adults, response to antidepressant treatment may be slower in older adults (although this only holds for “older” antidepressants [Katona 1994]), whereas with SSRIs older patients may not routinely require longer time to show response [see Sackeim et al. 2005]). Older patients are suggested to be characterized by a higher relapse rate during continuation-phase treatment (Reynolds et al 1996). There is evidence which suggests that older patients may continue to benefit from active continuation-phase treatment. One placebo-controlled trial tested the SSRI citalopram (Klysner et al. 2002) (Level D).

For mainentance treatment there is evidence for efficacy in preventing recurrence compared to placebo for dothiepin (Old Age Depression Interest Group 1993), phenelzine (Georgotas et al. 1989), citalopram (Klysner et al. 2002), and lithium administered in addition to antidepressant treatment (Wilkinson et al. 2003) (Level B).

Cardiovascular side effects are a particular concern in older adults. In a trial comparing nortriptyline with dosing, young antidepressants with ischaemic heart disease, in which a sizable proportion of the patients were older than 60, the two drugs were equally effective for depression, but nortriptyline was associated with a significantly higher rate of serious cardiac adverse effects (Roose et al. 1998). Anticholinergic adverse events (e.g., cognitive impairment, constipation, urinary retention) are another important issue in the older population (Table III). Due to the equal efficacy of the various classes of antidepressants, choice of medication is determined by comparing side effect profiles. Because older patients are more prone to orthostatic hypotension and more sensitive to other adverse events such as cardiovascular and anticholinergic effects, SSRIs and the other/newer antidepressants are generally preferred to TCAs (Level A) (Katona 2000; Wilson and Mottram 2004). Older patients are typically started on a lower oral dose than younger adult patients, but it may be necessary to titrate doses for effectiveness. Higher plasma concentrations for a given dose are generally found in older compared to younger individuals (Anderson et al. 2000; American Psychiatric Association 2000) and doses may need to be adjusted particularly in patients with impaired renal or liver function. For a guideline on the management of late-life depression under primary care conditions see Baldwin et al. (2003).

For treatment of dysthymia in older patients see 5.2.

6.3 Treatment-resistant depression

There is no universally accepted definition of treatment resistance. However, if the patient did not respond (according to validated psychometric scales) to at least two treatment trials with different drug
classes (given at a dosage equivalent to 150 mg of tricyclics for a period of 4–6 weeks) treatment resistance is most likely. Since this condition seriously impairs patients, referral to a specialist is recommended (see 2.1.8 for options of treatment optimization; additional psychotherapy or, in severe cases, ECT).

6.3.1 Treatment-resistant depression in older adults. Treatment-resistant major depression is a common clinical problem in older depressed patients, reported to affect up to one-third of this population. Unidentified co-morbid medical or psychiatric conditions and misdiagnosis often contribute to treatment resistance. Atypical depressive symptoms such as somatic and cognitive symptoms, and co-morbid medical conditions that can themselves produce depressive symptoms, often make it difficult to accurately assess antidepressant response in this age group (Mulsant and Pollock 1998; Katona 2000). Options for treatment-resistant depression in older adults involve reconsideration of the diagnosis, optimizing treatment and use of alternative therapeutic approaches, including switching to another agent, combination therapy and electroconvulsive therapy.

Although there are fewer data than for young adults that support the use of lithium augmentation, lithium seems to be an effective augmenting agent in the treatment of depression in older adults (Level C) (Kushnir 1986; Katona and Finch 1991; Zimmer et al 1991; Uehlinger et al 1995). However, the use of lithium is more problematic in older adults due to less efficient clearance and interaction with concomitant medications (Sproule et al 2000). Regular clinical examination and regular blood level monitoring, aimed at keeping serum lithium levels within the range of 0.4–0.8 mmol/l (mEq/l), enable most older adults to continue lithium treatment safely (Katona and Finch 1991).

6.4 Treatment of depression in children and adolescents

A substantial proportion of patients experience their first episode of major depressive disorder during early childhood, prior to puberty or adolescence (Birmaher et al. 1998). Early-onset MDD is similar in many ways to MDD in adults, but it is a particularly serious form of affective disorder due to the high recurrence rate present at a critical developmental period. Although in these cases referral to a child and adolescent psychiatrist is recommended, a short overview of treatment options is given.

Antidepressants may prove useful in some cases and are especially recommended for patients with severe depression and psychosis (Birmaher et al 1998). Almost all double-blind controlled trials reported no significant difference in efficacy between TCAs and placebo. The therapeutic role of TCAs (particularly desipramine and imipramine) for children and adolescents must be seriously weighed against lethality of overdose, the possibility of sudden unexplained death (possibly related to cardiac conduction problems; Wilens et al 1996), and the availability of medications which are safer and easier to monitor (Geller et al. 2000). SSRIs appear to have superior efficacy compared to placebo in children and adolescents (Level B) (for fluoxetine, see Emslie et al 1997; for paroxetine, see Keller et al. 2001). The “newer” antidepressants have not yet been studied in RCTs, small open-label studies of venlafaxine (Mandoki et al 1997) and nefazodone (Goodnick et al. 2000) have shown some promising results (Level D). ECT may be considered for acutely suicidal, psychotic or treatment-resistant depression (Thorpe et al. 2001).

As mentioned above, there is discussion regarding an increased risk for suicidal behaviour (including suicidal ideation and attempts) with SSRIs (especially paroxetine, discrepant results for citalopram and sertraline) and newer antidepressants (e.g., venlafaxine) in children leading to official statements of the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA 2005) and the European Medicines Agency (EMEA 2005). Therefore, comprehensive information must be given both to patients and parents/legal guardian. If the patient is treated effectively with SSRIs, careful follow-up should be provided. In the case of only partial or non-response, gradual dose reduction under close observation is an option. Abrupt discontinuation of the medication must be avoided.

Given the high rate of relapse of depression, continuation therapy is recommended for all children and adolescents for at least 6 months. As with adults, antidepressants should be continued at the same dose used to attain remission of acute symptoms. At the end of the continuation phase, for patients who do not require maintenance treatment, medications should be discontinued gradually over a period of at least 6 weeks.

6.5 Treatment during pregnancy and breast-feeding

Major depressive disorder occurring during pregnancy is a difficult therapeutic problem (American Psychiatric Association 2000). In contrast to mood stabilizers (lithium, carbamazepine, and valproate), which do have some teratogenicity, antidepressants (TCAs, SSRIs) do not seem to infer increased risk of organ dysgenesis (Altshuler et al. 1996, 2001) and do not increase risk of intrauterine death or major
birth defects (Wisner et al 1999). The (neuro-)development of children whose mothers took TCAs or fluoxetine during gestation did not differ from that of controls (Nulman and Koren 1996; Nulman et al. 1997). Direct drug effects and transient withdrawal symptoms (e.g., jitteriness, tachypnea) occurred in some infants whose mothers were treated with antidepressants near term (Wisner et al. 1999). For “newer” antidepressants, only little information is available. MAO inhibitors are contraindicated during pregnancy due to possible hypertensive crisis. Use of antidepressants during pregnancy is appropriate in many clinical situations, and should include thoughtful weighing of risk of prenatal exposure versus risk of relapse of the mother following drug discontinuation (risk–benefit decision making). Psychotherapy and ECT should be considered as important treatment alternatives. Close monitoring and interventions for patients with identified risks (e.g., poor weight gain) are recommended (Wisner et al. 1999).

Following childbirth, many women are at high risk for the onset or recurrence of a mood disorder. The transient 7–10-day depressive syndrome referred to as “postpartum blues” typically does not meet the criteria for major depressive disorder and does not require medication (American Psychiatric Association 2000). The term “postpartum depression” refers to a major depressive episode occurring within 4 weeks of delivery. Studies have shown a consistent incidence of depression in 10–15% of mothers in the early weeks after delivery (Hoffbrand et al. 2001). Women with a history of MDD have a 25–50% risk of a postpartum depressive episode. Since many women needing antidepressant treatment may wish to breast-feed their infants, several recent studies have identified antidepressants that can be safely used during nursing (Level C) (Wisner et al. 1996; Burt et al. 2001; Hoffbrand et al. 2001). The agents most scrutinized in breast-feeding women are paroxetine, sertraline, fluoxetine, clomipramine and nortriptyline (Stowe et al. 2000; Hendrick et al. 2001). When a psychotropic medication is administered, the infant should be monitored daily by the mother for changes in sleep, feeding patterns and behaviour. The mother should alert the physician if there is any reason for concern.

Update of Guideline

The Guideline will be updated in 2009 to review recommendations and integrate evidence from ongoing trials.
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